Honorable Julius Genachowski, Chairman
Commissioner Michael J. Copps
Commissioner Robert M. McDowell
Commissioner Mignon Clyburn
Commissioner Meredith Attwell Baker
Federal Communications Commission
445 Twelfth Street SW
Washington, DC 20554

Re: CG Docket Nos. 03-123 and 10-51

Dear Chairman Genachowski and Commissioners Copps, McDowell, Clyburn, and Baker,

As a student in an Interpreter Training Program and having Deaf relatives, I have the great fortune of knowing some amazing deaf individuals who communicate by videophone in American Sign Language using VRS. I believe this life-altering broadband service is a vital link that connects deaf people to the hearing community.

Ensuring that deaf individuals have access to VRS and encouraging improvements in VRS should be a high priority for you as Chairman and Commissioners of the Federal Communications Commission (FCC). The Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) requires the FCC to make available to all deaf individuals nationwide ?functionally-equivalent? communications.

You will soon determine the future of VRS. When you set the VRS rate, you will determine whether America makes progress toward the statutory goals of functional equivalence, nationwide access and inclusion? or force deaf users to revert to TTY communications which is an antiquated mode of communication. And, you will determine whether VRS fulfills its potential to drive broadband adoption by the deaf, even in the face of poverty and isolation.

The Deaf Community is a linguistic minority that has suffered oppression by the majority throughout history. Today, VRS has provided ?functional equivalency? as never experienced in the history of telecommunications. No longer do they have to type a phone conversation, their second language, English, to express their thoughts. No longer do they have hearing persons hang up on them because they cannot be bothered with the slower and awkward conversation resulting from a typed relay conversation.

I was deeply disturbed to see the Commission?s recent Public Notice on VRS rates. These proposals would put an end to VRS as we know it. I have been informed that if these proposed rates are adopted, Sorenson Communication would head into bankruptcy. This would be disastrous for deaf VRS users, as well as other VRS providers using Sorenson?s technology.

Sorenson Communications has invested millions of dollars to bring VRS technology to where it is today and continues in areas of technological advancements. They provide video phones to deaf citizens at no cost. Once receiving this equipment, the deaf consumers are able to use any VRS provider they choose. Other VRS providers advertise their services providing links to Sorenson?s website to receive their free video phone and then how to set up the VP to use their services. If Sorenson is forced to file bankruptcy, these other VRS providers will be in jeopardy if Sorenson?s technology is not available.

The FCC should be increasing the availability and use of VRS, not cutting back. You should adopt a rate that encourages continuing improvements in VRS technology and continues to improve services levels. Recent developments in VRS are a good example of how the service can be improved, such as enhanced 911 services, 10-digit numbering, a larger and better-trained pool of interpreters and better videophones with an array of enhanced features. Monthly payments for broadband are a big expense for many deaf people, and instead of trying to cut back on VRS, you should be exploring

ways to make VRS over broadband more affordable to deaf individuals.
Progress towards functional equivalence will be destroyed if the FCC does not encourage VRS providers to improve VRS and make it more widely available. VRS is a recent and dramatic advancement that benefits those who are deaf, but so much more can be done. It would be tragic if the FCC were to destroy this broadband service that is so vital to the deaf.
Recent reports of fraud in the VRS industry are disturbing to me as a tax-paying citizen. The FCC must devote more of its time and energy to focusing on the elimination of fraud.
I urge you to establish a fair and predictable rate for VRS that will encourage VRS providers to invest

in improving VRS and reaching more deaf individuals. The law requires it and it is the right thing to

do.

Sincerely,